

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,113	12/31/2003	Carl J. Mueller	7578W-000321/US	9587
28997	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C			NORMAN, MARC E	
7700 BONHO ST. LOUIS,	MME, STE 400 4O 63105	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01.20010,			3744	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/750,113	MUELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc E. Norman	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ne 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 31 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13-18,20-30,32 and 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 10,12 and 19 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the diagoned Cines	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 1- 11 1 - 05 11 0 0 0 440(-)	(4) (0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,113

Art Unit: 3744

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 14 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the thermostat of Saunders et al. does not control or lock out the compressor. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example lines 4-11 of the Abstract of Saunders et al. whereby the control means are operative to lock out the compressor from operation based on a monitored electrical current supplied to the compressor. See also bottom left hand corner of Figure 7, whereby the controller locks out the compressor based on the monitored current.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 13-18, 25, 27, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders et al.

In view of the response to arguments above, the rejections of these claims as set forth in the previous Office Action are carried forward and maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/750,113

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunder et al. in view of Farr.

In view of the response to arguments above, the rejections of these claims as set forth in the previous Office Action are carried forward and maintained.

Claims 26, 29, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al.

In view of the response to arguments above, the rejections of claims 26 and 29 as set forth in the previous Office Action are carried forward and maintained.

Regarding new claims 32 and 33, official notice is taken that valve and fan feedback mechanisims and the use of multiple thermostat modules are both old and well known in the refrigeration arts, and, as such, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/750,113

Art Unit: 3744

apply to Saunders et al. for the general purposes of efficient and convenient control of the refrigeration cycle.

Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al. in view of Jayanth et al.

As per claim 21, Saunders et al. does not teach pulse-based signals. As discussed in the previous Office Action, this feature is taught by Jayanth et al. Such pulsed based communications are old and well-known in the art, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply this feature to the system of Saunders et al. for the purpose of efficient control communication.

As per claims 22-24, these features would have been obvious for the reasons already set forth in the previous Office Action.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 12, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER